



Fisheries and Oceans
Canada

Pêches et Océans
Canada

Ecosystems and
Oceans Science

Sciences des écosystèmes
et des océans



CERT

Comité d'évaluation des ressources transfrontalières

Document de référence 2014/05

Ne pas citer sans
autorisation des auteurs

TRAC

Transboundary Resources Assessment Committee

Reference Document 2014/05

Not to be cited without
permission of the authors

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank

Michele L. Traver and Loretta O'Brien

NOAA Fisheries
Northeast Fisheries Science Center
Population Dynamics Branch
Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA

Ce document est disponible sur l'Internet à :

<http://www.bio.gc.ca/info/intercol/index-en.php>

This document is available on the Internet at :



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
RÉSUMÉ.....	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS AND RESULTS.....	1
SUMMARY.....	1
TABLES	2
FIGURES.....	5

ABSTRACT

This document is an exploratory evaluation of the spatial distribution of Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders on Georges Bank, as well as the abundance of prey consumed by each of these species. There may be little competition for food or space given the minimal overlap in distribution in both seasons. Analysis of the Northeast Fisheries Science Center's food habits database for the four flounder species indicates that there is minimal overlap in the diet of Yellowtail Flounder compared to the diets of Fourspot Flounder, Windowpane Flounder and Winter Flounder, when looking at each stratum individually. A more detailed analysis is needed to explore the influence of bottom type and environmental indices, such as temperature and salinity on distribution. Such an analysis may be useful in determining if there are additional factors to explain the aggregations of flounders in these particular areas.

RÉSUMÉ

Le présent document est une évaluation exploratoire de la répartition spatiale de la limande à queue jaune, du cardeau à quatre ocelles, du turbot de sable et de la plie rouge sur le banc de Georges, de même que de l'abondance des proies consommées par chacune de ces espèces. Il pourrait y avoir peu de concurrence pour la nourriture ou l'espace étant donné le peu de chevauchement des aires de répartition durant les deux saisons. Une analyse de la base de données des habitudes alimentaires du Northeast Fisheries Science Center pour les quatre espèces de plie indique qu'il y a peu de chevauchement dans le régime alimentaire de la limande à queue jaune par rapport aux régimes alimentaires du cardeau à quatre ocelles, du turbot de sable et de la plie rouge lorsque chaque strate est examinée individuellement. Une analyse plus détaillée est nécessaire pour étudier l'influence du type de fond et des indices environnementaux, comme la température et la salinité, sur la répartition. Une telle analyse pourrait permettre de déterminer si d'autres facteurs expliquent les rassemblements de plies dans ces zones particulières.

INTRODUCTION

This document is an exploratory evaluation of the spatial distribution of Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders on Georges Bank, as well as the abundance of prey consumed by each of these species. The focus was on the Georges Bank Yellowtail Flounder stock area, as defined by the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) research bottom trawl survey offshore strata 13-22 (Figure 1) for the analyses. Based on the average abundance (number per tow) from the surveys, the core distribution among the four flounders is more segregated in the spring (1968-2013, Figure 2) than in the fall (1963-2013, Figure 3). During the fall survey, the core distribution of the four flounders shifts slightly but still does not appear to overlap to a great extent (Figure 3). Yellowtail and Fourspot Flounder overlap along the outer edge of Georges Bank, whereas Windowpane and Winter Flounder appear to congregate more towards the middle of Georges Bank. The distribution of the four flounders during the more recent spring and fall 2008-2012 surveys (Figures 4 and 5) exhibit similar patterns as the full time series. There may be little competition for food or space given the minimal overlap in distribution in both seasons.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Using the NEFSC Feeding Ecology Analysis and Statistics Toolkit (FEAST) program, it was possible to examine the food habits of the four flounder species in the NEFSC offshore strata 13-22 during both spring and fall 2008-2012 surveys. Stomach contents, specifically identifying the prey species (see Table 1 for prey definitions) that accounted for more than 10% of the diet for each of the four species, combined across all strata, are presented in Figures 6 and 7. The overall stomach contents for each species, by stratum, are presented in Figures 8-27. Both sets of plots give a percentage of diet composition by taxonomic category. Sample sizes (n) were based on prey items that accounted for more than 10% of the diet in Figures 6 and 7 and on a five-year average of all prey items for Figures 8-27. Table 1 gives more detailed information on the stomach contents from the FEAST program.

SUMMARY

Examination of the overall diet composition across all strata shows that decapods were commonly found in the diets of all four flounders in the fall, and that no particular pattern emerged among all four flounders in the spring (Figures 6 and 7). However, there is minimal overlap in the diet of Yellowtail Flounder compared to the diets of Fourspot Flounder, Windowpane Flounder and Winter Flounder, when looking at each stratum individually. The plots demonstrate that Yellowtail Flounder seem to prefer annelids and amphipods over the decapods, cnidarians, and crustaceans that Fourspot, Windowpane and Winter flounders seem to prefer in certain strata (Figures 8-27).

A preliminary look at the NEFSC's benthic data (1953–1974) indicated that the highest abundance of individual organisms occurred in strata 13, 16, and 19. The apparent higher productivity in these strata could account for the higher abundance of flounders in these strata, but further analyses would need to be conducted. Also, a more detailed analysis is needed to explore the influence of bottom type and environmental indices, such as temperature and salinity on distribution. These analyses would be useful in identifying likely factors that explain the aggregations of flounders in these particular areas.

TABLES

Table 1. Prey items found in the stomachs of Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders.

AMMFAM	
AMMFAM	SAND LANCES
AMMOSP	SAND LANCES
AMMDUB	SAND LANCES

AMPHIP	
AMPHIP	AMPHIPODA
GAMMAR	GAMMARIDEA
CAPFA1	CAPRELLIDAE
HYPFAM	HYPERIIDAE

ANNELI	
HIRUDI	LEECHES
OLIGOC	EARTHWORMS
POLYCH	POLYCHAETA
APHFAM	SEA MOUSE

AR	
AR	ANIMAL REMAINS

ASCIDI	
ASCIDI	TUNICATES

ASTERO	
ASTERO	STARFISH

BIVALV	
BIVALV	CLAMS, MUSSELS
PECFA1	SCALLOPS
PECFA2	SCALLOPS
PECFA3	SCALLOPS
AROSL	OCEAN QUAHOG
AROS2	OCEAN QUAHOG VISCERA
AROS3	OCEAN QUAHOG SHELL

BOTFAM	
BOTFAM	LEFT-EYE FLOUNDERS
BOTHSP	
CITHSP	
CITARC	GULF STREAM FLOUNDER
CITMAC	SPOTTED WHIFF
ETROSP	
ETRMIC	SMALLMOUTH FLOUNDER
ANCQUA	OCELLATED FLOUNDER
PARAS1	PARALICHTHID FLOUNDER
PARDEN	SUMMER FLOUNDER
PAROBL	FOUR SPOT FLOUNDER
SCOAQU	WINDOW PANE

BRYOZO	
BRYOZO	FLOWER ANIMALS

CEPHAL	
CEPHAL	SQUIDS, CUTTLEFISH AND OC
LOLISP	LONGFIN SQUID
LOLPEA	LONGFIN SQUID
LOLBRE	ATLANTIC BRIEF SQUID
ABRVER	RUPPEL'S ABRALIA
OMMBEA	
ILLESP	SHORTFIN SQUID-GENUS
ILLILL	NORTHERN SHORTFIN SQUID
SEPFAM	CUTTLEFISH
SEMTEEN	LESSER SHINING BOBTAIL
OCTOPO	OCTOPODA

CLUFAM	
CLUFAM	HERRINGS
ALOSSP	
ALOAES	BLUEBACK HERRING
ALOPSE	ALEWIFE
ALOSAP	AMERICAN SHAD
BRETYR	ATLANTIC MENHADEN
CLUHAR	ATLANTIC HERRING
ETATER	ROUND HERRING
SARAU	SPANISH SARDINE
OPIOGL	ATLANTIC THREAD HERRING

CNIDAR	
CNIDAR	CNIDARIA
HYDROZ	HYDROZOA
ANTHOZ	CORALS, ANEMONES
SCYPHO	JELLYFISH

COPEPO	
COPEPO	COPEPODA

CRUSTA	
CRUSTA	CRUSTACEA
CRUEGG	CRUSTACEAN EGGS
CRULAR	CRUSTACEAN LARVAE
CRUSHR	CRUSTACEAN SHRIMP

CUMACE	
CUMACE	CUMACEA

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank

Table 1 (cont). Prey items found in the stomachs of Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders.

DECAPO	
DECAPO	DECAPODA
DECLAR	DECAPODA LARVAE
DECCRA	DECAPODA CRAB
DECSHR	DECAPODA SHRIMP
CANFAM	CANCER CRABS
CRAFAM	CRAGONID SHRIMP
HOMAME	LOBSTER
PAGFAM	HERMIT CRABS
PANFAM	PANDALIDAE
PENFAM	PENAEIDAE
CALSAP	BLUE CRAB
SCYFAM	SLIPPER LOBSTERS

ECHIN1	
ECHIN1	URCHINS, SAND DOLLARS

ECHINO	
ECHINO	ECHINODERMATA

EMPTY	
EMPTY	EMPTY

EUPFAM	
EUPFAM	KRILL

FISLAR	
FISLAR	FISH LARVAE

GADFAM	
GADFAM	CODFISHES
BROBRO	CUSK
ENCCIM	FOURBEARD ROCKLING
GADMOR	ATLANTIC COD
MELAEG	HADDOCK
MERALB	OFFSHORE HAKE
MERBIL	SILVER HAKE
POLVIR	POLLOCK
UROSP	HAKE UNCL
UROCHE	LONGFIN HAKE
UROCHU	RED HAKE
UROREG	SPOTTED HAKE
UROTEN	WHITE HAKE

GASTRO	
GASTRO	SNAILS
PTERO	PTEROPODA

HOLOTH	
HOLOTH	SEA CUCUMBERS

ISOPOD	
ISOPOD	ISOPODA

MISC	
MISC	MISCELLANEOUS

MOLLUS	
MOLLUS	MOLLUSCA

MYSIDA	
MYSIDA	MYSIDACEA

NEMATO	
NEMATO	NEMATODA

OPHIU1	
OPHIU1	BRITTLE STARS

OTHFIS	
OTHFIS	OTHER FISH
FISOTO	FISH OTOLITHS
OSTEIC	BONY FISHES
SCOSAU	ATLANTIC SAURY
POLLOW	BEARDFISH
MAUWEI	MULLER'S PEARLSIDES
POLCLA	SHORTSPINE TENPLATE
CYPVAR	SHEEPSHEAD MINNOW
LAVISP	HITCHES
FISTSP	CORNETFISHES
MACSCO	LONGSPINE SNIPEFISH
ANTRAD	SINGLESPOD FROGFISH
PARFAM	BARRACUDINAS
SYNFA2	LIZARDFISHES
SYNINT	SAND DIVER
TRAMYO	SNAKEFISH
ALEFA2	LANCETFISH
ALEFER	LONGNOSE LANCETFISH
STOBOA	BOA DRAGONFISH
CHUSLO	VIPERFISH
PERORD	
ASPMON	ALLIGATORFISH
LOPCHA	TILEFISH
CRYMAC	WRYMOUTH
CYCLUM	LUMPFISH
LIPASP	SNAILFISH

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank

Table 1 (cont). Prey items found in the stomachs of Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders.

OTHFIS (cont)	
LIPATL	ATLANTIC SEASNAIL
LIPINQ	INQUILINE SNAILFISH
PHLFAM	GUNNELS
PHOGUN	ROCK GUNNEL
ORTCHR	PIGFISH
LUMLUM	SNAKEBLenny
LUMMAC	DAUBED SHANNY
ULVSUB	RADIATED SHANNY
BLEFAM	BLenny UNCLASSIFIED
MICFAM	WORMFISHES
CALFA3	DRAGONET FISH
FOEAGA	SPOTFIN DRAGONET
ZENCON	BUCKLER DORY

PORIFE	
PORIFE	SPONGES

RAJORD	
RAJORD	RAYS AND SKATES U
RAJASP	SKATES
RAJEGG	SKATE EGG CASE
RAJEGL	CLEARNOSE SKATE
RAJERI	LITTLE SKATE
RAJGAR	ROSETTE SKATE
RAJRAD	THORNY SKATE
RAJSEN	SMOOTH SKATE

SCRFAM	
SCRFAM	ROCKFISHES, SCORPIONFISHES
HELDAC	BLACKBELLY ROSEFISH
SEBFAS	ACADIAN REDFISH

STRFAM	
STRFAM	BUTTERFISHES
ARIBON	SILVER RAG
PEPTRI	BUTTERFISH
PEPALE	HARVESTFISH

SYNFA1	
SYNFA1	PIPEFISHES AND SEAHORSES
HIPSP	SEAHORSES
HIPERE	LINED SEAHORSE
SYNGSP	
SYNFUS	NORTHERN PIPEFISH

UNOBS	
UNOBS	UNOBS

UROCHO	
UROCHO	UROCHORDATA

WORMS	
PLATYH	FLATWORMS
WORMS	WORMS

FIGURES




Figure 1. NEFSC survey strata map.




Figure 2. NEFSC spring survey distribution of Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter Flounder in strata 13-22, averaged from 1968-2013.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 3. NEFSC fall survey distribution of Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounder in strata 13-22, averaged from 1963-2013.




Figure 4. NEFSC spring survey distribution of Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter Flounder in strata 13-22, averaged from 2008-2012.




Figure 5. NEFSC fall survey distribution of Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter Flounder in strata 13-22, averaged from 2008-2012.




Figure 6. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for all strata (13-22) during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 7. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for all strata (13-22) during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 8. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 13 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.




Figure 9. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 14 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.




Figure 10. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 15 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 11. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 16 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.




Figure 12. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 17 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 13. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 18 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 14. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 19 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 15. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 20 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 16. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 21 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.




Figure 17. NEFSC spring survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 22 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 18. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 13 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 19. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 14 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.




Figure 20. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 15 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 21. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 16 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 22. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 17 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 23. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 18 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 24. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 19 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 25. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 20 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.

Comparison of Distribution and Prey of Four Flounders on Georges Bank




Figure 26. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 21 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.




Figure 27. NEFSC fall survey stomach contents for Georges Bank Yellowtail, Fourspot, Windowpane, and Winter flounders for stratum 22 during 2008-2012. Only prey items greater than 10% of the diet are plotted. N = number of prey items in the chart.